

Hunters Point Naval Shipyard Briefing for Enrique – Friday, June 1, 2018

Suggested Agenda

1. Updates
 - a. 5/25 – Supervisor Cohen internal meeting
 - b. 5/25 – Parcel A - CDPH initial planning meeting
 - c. 5/29 - Parcel A – CDPH site walk
2. Upcoming key dates
 - a. 6/1, 11:15 am – Prep call with Laura
 - b. 6/1, 11:30 am - Congressional call with offices of Pelosi, Feinstein, Harris
 - c. 6/1 - HQ Dty Administrator Wheeler meet and greet with DoD
 - d. 6/4, 9:30 am - Staff meeting Parcel A Workplan – phases, thresholds, liability, communications
 - e. 6/4, 1 pm – RA Briefing
 - f. 6/7, Revised deadline for response letter to Cong. Pelosi
 - g. No deadline for response letters to Mayor and Lennar
3. Next steps
 - a. Mid-June – Navy releases revised draft Generic Workplan and first draft Parcel G Task Specific Plan to public and regulators
 - b. Early July – Navy releases draft plan for reference background study
 - c. Late July – Parcel A Workplan

Attachments:

- 6/1 - Congressional Talking Points
- 6/4 - RA draft agenda and one page briefing paper
- 6/7 - Draft response letter to Cong. Pelosi

Congressional Update, June 1, 2018, RSVP's as of Thursday morning, May 31

Rep. Nancy Pelosi

Robert Edmonson, Chief of Staff
Dan Bernal, San Francisco District Director
Alex Lazar, Congressional Aide
Taylor Griffin, Press Secretary, Office of the House Minority Leader
Miguel Guerrero, Casework Manager

Sen. Dianne Feinstein

John Murray, San Francisco Field Representative

Sen. Kamala Harris

June Williams - San Francisco District Director - Awaiting confirmation.
Monica Pham - Legislative Assistant – Tentative
Thomas Dotstry – Backup for Monica Pham

Navy

Laura Duchnak
Jeanine Womble, Legislative Counsel, Navy Office of Legislative Affairs
David Hellman

EPA

John Chesnutt
Lily Lee
Angeles Hererra
Enrique Manzanilla
Brianna Fairbanks
Rusty Harris-Bishop - Tentative
Brent Maier
Kelly Zito - Expected to Participate

Update to Congressional Staff 6/1/2018

Talking Points

- As we stated before, based on the work done and history of the site, we do not believe anyone living or working at Hunters Point faces any health risk due to Tetra Tech EC Inc. The areas under question are enclosed under protective covers or inside locked buildings in secured parts of the site. A variety of contractors routinely collect radiological data from air monitors, groundwater, and fence-line scans. We believe that these and other protections, including dust controls, are protecting the community as our investigation and clean-up activities proceed.
- We have received new allegations about potential concerns on Parcel A, and we have conducted a site walk with the individuals who have made these allegations. We are evaluating what we have learned, consulting with our regulatory partners and other stakeholders, and we will work together to recommend a course of action. We will share those results with you and the public as well.
- In addition, I understand that you have requested, in an abundance of caution, new radiological testing at Parcel A. The Navy does not have a mechanism in place at this time to fund EPA to do this or other contract work.
- The Navy has requested that the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) conduct new radiological testing at Parcel A. CDPH managers are recommending to their Director that they do the requested radiological testing at Parcel A. They hope to receive confirmation within the next few weeks.
- Meanwhile, CDPH staff have already conducted multiple recent site visits to Parcel A, and they have begun drafting a workplan to prepare for doing testing in anticipation that the Director will approve the work. Developing a workplan may take a few months. Field work could start afterwards.
- We will work with the State and the Navy to implement a proactive communications strategy that includes communicating with you, residents, and the press as we develop the workplan for testing. We will keep you informed as we proceed.
- We have made Hunters Point a top priority. We have redirected resources from other sites for Hunters Point.

Hunters Point Naval Shipyard - Regional Administrator Briefing
Suggested Agenda – June 4, 2018, 1 pm, Big Pine Room

1. Introductions
2. Site Background
3. Tetra Tech EC Falsification
4. Current Residents of Parcel A
5. Next steps
 - a. Response letter to Congresswoman Pelosi
 - b. Mid-June Navajo Briefing
 - c. Site visit to Hunters Point Naval Shipyard

Hunters Point Naval Shipyard – Region 9 RA Briefing – June 4, 2018

Site Background

- The 934-acre Hunters Point Naval Shipyard (HPNS) is the largest and most complex Navy Superfund cleanup nationwide. Cleanup will cost over \$1 billion overall.
- The Navy is the lead agency responsible for the investigation and cleanup of HPNS. EPA and its state regulatory partners oversee and enforce Navy compliance with Superfund and other environmental requirements.
- San Francisco is redeveloping the site and nearby Candlestick Park. It plans to eventually create over 10,000 homes, 10,000 jobs, R&D space, and parks.

Tetra Tech EC Inc. Falsification

- Redevelopment is delayed at least a year due to falsification of radiation data by Navy contractor Tetra Tech EC Inc. These findings call into question the 12-year and over \$250 million cleanup of 300,000 cubic yards of soil, 28 miles of storm drains, and 28 buildings.
- EPA and the State wrote a letter in 2016 confirming that no further transfers would occur before addressing the falsification concerns.
- EPA's review of data found signs of potential falsification or data quality concerns in up to 97% of data. The Navy committed to resample all locations where Tetra Tech EC Inc. did radiological work. The degree of retesting at each location will be addressed in draft plans to be released to the public in mid-June, 2018. The Navy, under EPA and State oversight, plans in late 2018 to begin retesting and, if necessary, additional cleanup.
- In 2016, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission concluded an enforcement action confirming falsification, and in 2018 two former workers were sentenced to jail and fines after admitting to falsifying sampling. Other enforcement investigations are ongoing.

Current residents in Parcel A, which was removed from the Superfund list in 2004

- EPA believes the concerns we have about Tetra Tech EC Inc. on Parcels outside residential areas would not impact the health of current residents in Parcel A or the surrounding community. The areas under question are enclosed under protective covers or inside locked buildings in secured parts of the site. A variety of Navy contractors routinely collect radiological data from air monitors, groundwater, and fence-line scans. These and other measures, including dust controls, are protecting the community as our investigation and clean-up activities proceed.
- The press recently reported new allegations about potential concerns on Parcel A. Congresswoman Pelosi and the San Francisco Mayor wrote letters to the EPA Administrator about health exposures and delay of redevelopment. They requested expedited retesting, including of Parcel A. We are evaluating these and consulting with our regulatory partners and other stakeholders to recommend a course of action.
- 149 residents filed a \$27 billion class action lawsuit against Tetra Tech. Other activists filed a petition with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to revoke the license of Tetra Tech EC Inc., a subsidiary of parent company Tetra Tech Inc.